

## Hydrophilic Polymers for Improving the Conditioning Effect of Manures and Organic Composts. I. Production and Water and Fertilizers Use Efficiency for Tomato grown in Sandy Soil

O.A. El-Hady,\* S.M. Shaaban\*\* and Sh. A. Wanas\*

\*Soils and Water Use Department; and \*\*Water Relations and Field Irrigation Department, National Research Center, Cairo, Egypt.

**A** TWO successive years (2004 and 2005) completely randomized field experiment with trickle irrigated tomatoes (*Lycopersicon esculentum*, var. 448) as the indicator plant was conducted on a sandy soil at El-katta, Giza governorate to study the conditioning effect of hydrogels when mixed with or grafted on organic composts on production and water and fertilizers use efficiency by growing plants. Examined treatments were: a) untreated soil, b) soil treated with 0.5 kg and 1 kg organic compost (OM) / plant pit, c) soil treated with 2g and 4 g polyacrylamide K polyacrylate gel (G)/plant pit, d) soil treated with mixtures of 0.5 kg OM + 1g G, 0.5 kg OM + 2g G, 1kg OM + 1 g G and 1 kg OM + 2 g G /plant pit, and e) soil treated with 12.5 g and 25 g polyacrylamide K polyacrylate grafted on organic compost (grafted G)/plant pit.

Produced yields by the unit of irrigation water or added fertilizers prove the importance of using either OM or G or both together for increasing the agricultural potentialities of sandy soils under the severe conditions of our deserts, *i.e.*, the limited water resources and the inadequate water retention and low fertility of such soils. Grafting G on OM leads to the production of an effective soil conditioner. Incorporating this conditioner in sandy soil improves its productivity and both water and fertilizers use efficiency by growing plants.

The conditioning effect of studied treatments could be descendingly arranged as follows: 25g grafted G  $\cong$  1kg OM + 2g G > 0.5kg OM + 2g G  $\cong$  4g G > 1kg OM + 1g G  $\cong$  12.5g grafted G > 0.5kg OM + 1g G  $\cong$  2g G > 1kg OM > 0.5kg OM / plant pit.

**Keywords:** Compost, Acrylamide hydrogels, Sandy soil, Water use efficiency, Fertilizer use efficiency, Tomato.

For the urgent need to meet food and dress demands in Egypt, more desert areas either sandy or sandy calcareous have to be put under cultivation. Such soils are poor with respect to their physico-bio-chemical properties, soil water plant relationships as well as their nutritional status. Reclamation and land utilization of such soils are faced by several difficulties namely: low humus and clay content and loss of added nutrients via leaching or deep percolation together with the

yield levels are difficult to attain. Proper management of these soils calls for specialized approaches for sustainable productivity (Balba, 1999).

Of the natural soil conditioners which have been used in Egypt for reclaiming sandy soils are organic manures and composts. Application rates in addition to chemical fertilization ranged between 10 and 20 tons/fed. (Badran, Nadia, 1983; Montasser, 1987 and Sakr, 1992). Due to shortage that occurred in the quantities of such conditioners, particularly that organic materials are usually decomposed and needed to be added more frequently, the problem of finding substitutes has been posed. Considerable attention has been paid in the last few decades to use synthesized conditioners to avail suitable environment for planting sandy soils. Among these conditioners are super absorbent materials, *i.e.*, hydrogels. Application rates ranged between 6 and 200 kg/fed. (El-Hady, 1987a and b; El-Hady *et al.*, 1990 & 1991 and Rasheed *et al.*, 1997).

It is expected that applying the proper hydrogel mixed with or grafted on organic materials to the soil may be more effective and economic than using each of them alone. (Abd El-Hameed *et al.*, 1995; El-Hady *et al.*, 2000a & b and 2002a & b and Shaaban, 2002). The present work aims to study the conditioning effect of hydrogels when mixed with or grafted on organic compost on production and water and fertilizers use efficiency by growing plants in sandy soil. As tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) is one of the main vegetable crops that is needed to be planted under the severe conditions of Egyptian Deserts, it was chosen as the indicator plant.

### Material and Methods

Two successive years (2004 & 2005) completely randomized field experiment with four replications for each treatment was conducted on a sandy soil at EL-Katta, Giza governorate, Egypt, as follows:

Soil: A virgin sandy soil of which more than 90% consists of particles  $>20\mu$ . The main analytical data of the soil are presented in Table 1.

Soil conditioners:

a. Compost (OM): Fine compost produced by aerobic composting of some local organic wastes, *i.e.*, town refuse, sawdust, plant residues and organic manure at the ratio of 1:1:1:1 respectively was applied. Table 2 presents the main chemical properties of applied compost.

b. Hydrogels (G): Two types of hydrogels (30% anionicty) were prepared to be examined as soil conditioners or improvers for organic waste composts. These are: 1) polyacrylamide K polyacrylate gel (G). It was prepared at the Polymers and Pigments Department, National Research Center. Ammonium peroxy di sulphate  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$  was used as the initiator (initiator ratio = 0.14%) while tri ethanol amine  $(\text{CH}_2\text{-CH}_2)_3\text{N}$  was used as the cross linker (cross linker ratio = 1.7 %).

**TABLE 1. The main analytical properties of the soil.**

| 1-Mechanical analysis  |                        |                      |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sand                   |                        | Silt %<br>20-2 $\mu$ | Clay %<br><2 $\mu$ | Soil Texture |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coarse >200 $\mu$<br>% | Fine 200-20 $\mu$<br>% |                      |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.6                   | 41.4                   | 4.4                  | 3.6                | Sandy        |  |  |  |  |  |  |

  

| 2-Chemical analysis |  |                        |         |                                |                  |                |                 |                               |                                |                               |
|---------------------|--|------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| PH<br>(1:2.5)       | EC<br>(dSm <sup>-1</sup><br>at 25 <sup>o</sup> ) | CaCO <sub>3</sub><br>% | OM<br>% | Cations (meq/L)<br>1:5 extract |                  |                |                 | Anions (meq/L)<br>1:5 extract |                                |                               |
|                     |  |                        |         | Ca <sup>++</sup>               | Mg <sup>++</sup> | K <sup>+</sup> | Na <sup>+</sup> | Cl <sup>-</sup>               | HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-1</sup> | SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-2</sup> |
| 7.8                 | 1.1  | 6.2                    | 0.1     | 3.0                            | 1.6              | 0.2            | 7.2             | 6.8                           | 1.9                            | 3.3                           |

  

| 3-Hydrophysical analysis |                |                               |                 |                      |                        |
|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Bulk density             | Total porosity | Total water holding capacity* | Field capacity* | Wilting percent age* | Hydraulic conductivity |
| (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )    | (%)            | (%)                           | (%)             | (%)                  | m day <sup>-1</sup>    |
| 1.61                     | 39.25          | 19.61                         | 6.27            | 1.32                 | 11.6                   |

\*On weight basis.

Temperature and time of polymerization were 30 ° and 1.5 hr, respectively, (Abd El-Hady *et al.*, 1997). 2) polyacrylamide K polyacrylate gel grafted on wooden waste compost (grafted G). It was prepared by ionizing radiation at the National Center for Radiation Research and Technology, Atomic Energy Authority of Egypt, Nasr City, Cairo. Aqueous solution of water-soluble acrylamide – acrylate co-polymer was stirred with the water saturated organic waste compost. Few milliliters of Conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> were added to accelerate graft copolymerization. The reaction mixture was subjected to Co<sup>60</sup> gamma cell. The gellation dose was met at an absorbed dose of 10 K Gy for 3 hr. The polymer grafted on organic compost was thoroughly washed with water to extract residual monomers and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> before drying under vacuum at 50<sup>o</sup> for 24 hr. (Abd El-Rehim *et al.*, 2004). The main properties of both hydrogels are presented in Table 3.

Indicator plant: Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*, var.448), was chosen as the indicator plant. This choice was for its capability to fruit setting under severe conditions of our deserts particularly drought and salinity (Wahba, 2005).

TABLE 2. Some chemical properties of applied compost.

|  |       |
|--|-------|
| PH (H <sub>2</sub> O)  | 7.32  |
| Salinity: EC dSm <sup>-1</sup>                                   | 1.3   |
| Na <sup>+</sup> %  | 0.02  |
| Moisture %   | 4.11  |
| Mineral content:   |       |
| (Ash) %  | 28.80 |
| Organic component: OM %  | 67.09 |
| OC %   | 38.91 |
| ON %   | 2.09  |
| C:N  | 18.62 |
| Macro elements: NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> + NO <sub>3</sub> % | 0.02  |
| P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> %                                  | 0.38  |
| K <sub>2</sub> O %   | 0.48  |
| Secondary elements: Ca <sup>+2</sup> %                           | 1.12  |
| Mg <sup>+2</sup> %   | 0.36  |
| Micro elements: Fe ppm   | 116.0 |
| Mn ppm   | 51.0  |
| Zn ppm   | 45.0  |
| Cu ppm   | 12.5  |
| Heavy metals: Cd ppm   | 0.40  |
| Co ppm   | 0.60  |
| Ni ppm   | 2.02  |
| CEC c mole kg <sup>-1</sup>                                      | 135   |

TABLE 3. Main properties of applied hydrogels.

| Property                      | polyacrylamide<br>K polyacrylate<br>Gel | gel grafted on wooden<br>waste compost. |
|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Appearance                    | White to slightly<br>yellow grains      | yellow to light brown<br>grains         |
| Grain size                    | 0.25 - 1 mm.                            | 2 - 5 mm                                |
| Bulk density                  | ~ 600 kg m <sup>-3</sup>                | ~ 450 kg m <sup>-3</sup>                |
| Solubility                    | Insoluble in water and organic solvents |   |
| pH(0.1%) in distilled water   | 7.1                                     | 7.5                                     |
| CEC c mole kg <sup>-1</sup>   | 2045                                    | 445                                     |
| Absorption capacity(g/g gel): |   |   |
| deionized water               | 567                                     | 151                                     |
| Irrigation water (500 ppm)    | 296                                     | 82                                      |
| Absorption time               |   |   |
| up to 50%                     | 20 minutes                              | 15 - 20 minutes                         |
| Total absorption              | ~ 60 minutes                            | 30 - 60 minutes                         |

*Experimental design*

*Size of each experimental plot:* 1/100 feddan, *i.e.*, 120 plant pits.

*Soil treatments:*

- Treatment no 1: non-conditioned soil (mineral fertilization only).
- Treatments no 2 and 3: soil of treatment no 1 conditioned with 0.5 and 1kg compost (OM)/plant pit, *i.e.*, 6 and 12 tons/fed, respectively.
- Treatments no 4 and 5: soil of treatment no 1 conditioned with 2g and 4g hydrogel (G)/plant pit, *i.e.*, 24 and 48 kg/fed, respectively.
- Treatments no 6 and 7: soil of treatment no 1 conditioned with 12.5g and 25g grafted hydrogel (grafted G) /plant pit, *i.e.*, 150 and 300 kg/fed, respectively.
- Treatment no 8: soil of treatment no 1 conditioned with 0.5kg OM +1g G/plant pit, *i.e.*, 6 ton OM +12kg G/fed.
- Treatment no 9: soil of treatment no 1 conditioned with 1kg OM +1g G/plant pit, *i.e.*, 12 ton OM +12kg G/fed.
- Treatment no 10: soil of treatment no 1 conditioned with 0.5kg OM +2g G/plant pit, *i.e.*, 6 ton OM +24kg G/fed.
- Treatment no 11: soil of treatment no 1 conditioned with 1kg OM +2g G/plant pit, *i.e.*, 12 ton OM +24kg G/fed.

*Irrigation system:* Trickle irrigation (agro drip.). Distance between laterals is 1.0m. Distance between drippers is 33.3cm. Drippers discharge is 2 l/h. No of drippers/fed are ~12000.

*Irrigation water:* The source of irrigation is a well, which was dug inside the farm. Regarding its quality, it was classified as no problem water (Ayers & Westcot, 1976). Analysis of irrigation water used is presented in Table 4.

TABLE 4. Analysis of irrigation water used.

| Source | pH   | EC<br>dSm-1 | Soluble cations(meq/l) |                |                 |                  | Soluble anions(meq/l)         |                                |                 |                               |
|--------|------|-------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
|        |      |             | Na <sup>+</sup>        | K <sup>+</sup> | Ca <sup>+</sup> | Mg <sup>++</sup> | CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-2</sup> | HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-1</sup> | Cl <sup>-</sup> | SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-2</sup> |
| Well   | 7.05 | 1.35        | 8.3                    | 0.2            | 9.0             | 6.5              | 0.02                          | 3.6                            | 5.9             | 14.6                          |

\*Adj.SAR=7.33

\*\*Fe = traces (< 3 ppm).

*Water requirements for the crop:* Water requirements for the crop determined after Doorenbos & Pruitt, 1977 and Vermeiren & Jobling, 1980 are 3660 m<sup>3</sup>/fed, *i.e.*, ~300 l/plant. Table 5 presents the Water requirements for trickle irrigated tomatoes grown on sandy soil under the agro-climatological conditions of EL- Katta area taking into consideration that:

- Date of transplanting was 22 February.
- Date of the beginning of flowering was 1<sup>st</sup> week of April.
- Date of the beginning to pick fruits was 1-15 May according to the conditioning treatments.
- Date of the end of fruit picking was the last week of June.

Therefore, growing season was ~ 125 days divided into three periods, *i.e.*, 40, 55 and 30 days for vegetative growth, flowering and fruit setting and complete ripening of the fruits, respectively.

**TABLE 5.** Water requirements for trickle irrigated Tomatoes grown on a sandy soil at El Katta, Giza Governorate.

| Month                                | F                    | M      | A     | M       |        | J      |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------|-------|---------|--------|--------|
| Period                               | 22-28                | 1-31   | 1-30  | 1-25    | 25-30  | 1-25   |
| No. of days                          | 7                    | 31     | 30    | 25      | 5      | 25     |
| Epan<br>mm day <sup>-1</sup>         | 4.5                  | 6.4    | 8.5   | 11.2    |        | 12.8   |
| Kp                                   | 0.7                  | 0.65   | 0.65  | 0.65    |        | 0.65   |
| ET mm day <sup>-1</sup>              | 3.15                 | 4.16   | 5.53  | 7.28    |        | 8.32   |
| Kc                                   | 0.7                  |        | 1.2   |         | 0.65   |        |
| Kr                                   | 0.6                  | 0.8    | 1.0   |         | 1.0    |        |
| ET crop/loc.<br>mm day <sup>-1</sup> | 1.323                | 2.330  | 6.636 | 8.736   | 4.732  | 5.408  |
| Ks                                   | 1.15 (87%)           |        |       |         |        |        |
| Eu                                   | 1.11 (90%)           |        |       |         |        |        |
| Lr                                   | 10%                  |        |       |         |        |        |
| IRg<br>mm day <sup>-1</sup>          | 1.56                 | 3.27   | 9.32  | 12.27   | 6.64   | 7.59   |
| IRg<br>l/day/plant                   | 0.614                | 1.079  | 3.076 | 4.048   | 2.193  | 2.505  |
| IRg                                  | 4.298                | 33.449 | 92.28 | 101.200 | 10.963 | 62.625 |
| l/season/plant                       | 304.815 l            |        |       | ≈305 l  |        |        |
| m <sup>3</sup> /season/fed           | ≈3660 m <sup>3</sup> |        |       |         |        |        |

\* ET<sub>0</sub> = reference crop evaporation, Kc = crop coefficient, Kr = reduction factor for the influence of ground cover, Ks = a coefficient for the water storage efficiency of the soil, Eu = application uniformity, Lr = leaching requirements, IRg = gross irrigation requirements.

The soil was irrigated three times/week, *i.e.*, Saturday, Monday and Wednesday. Table 6 presents the distribution of irrigation requirements among the growth season.

**TABLE 6.** Distribution of irrigation requirements among the growth season.

| Growth stage                          | Time of irrigation<br>hr | No of irrigations | Quantity of irrigation water |                         |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
|                                       |                          |                   | l/<br>plant                  | m <sup>3</sup> /<br>fed |
| Vegetative growth (40 days)           | 1.2                      | 17                | 40.8                         | 490                     |
| Flowering and fruit setting (55 days) | 4.0                      | 24                | 192                          | 2304                    |
| Ripening and picking fruits (30 days) | 2.75                     | 13                | 71.5                         | 858                     |

\* Drippers discharge = 2 l/hr

*Fertilization:* Super phosphate (15.5% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) at the rate of 100kg/fed and potassium sulphate (48-52% K<sub>2</sub>O) at the rate of 100kg/fed were added as a basal dose before transplanting. Ammonium nitrate (33.5% N) was applied at the rate of 50 kg/fed through the irrigation system (fertigation). Micro nutrients were sprayed twice as chelates at the rate of 100,100 and 200 g/fed of respectively, Mn (EDTA) 13%Mn, Zn (EDTA) 14%Zn and Fe (EDTHA) 6%Fe.

*Other agricultural practices:* The normal cultural practices for tomatoes were properly applied.

*Examined parameters:*

- Marketable yield (total growing period was ≈125 days).
- Water use efficiency by plants calculated as kg of the marketable yield produced by each m<sup>3</sup> of irrigation water used (Hillel, 1971).
- Fertilizers use efficiency by plants calculated as kg of the marketable yield produced by each unit of fertilizer nutrients used.

*Experimental design and statistical analysis:* The field experiment was designed on a completely randomized system.. collected data were exposed to the proper statistical analysis according to Steel & Torrie (1980).

### Results and Discussion

As the obtained results of both successive seasons were not significantly different, their average was taken into consideration.

#### *Marketable yield*

Data presented in Table 7 show the effect of conditioning the sandy soil with OM, G, grafted G and OM+G on the productivity of the soil. Marketable yields of tomato were significantly increased to be 1.21 and 1.30 times or 1.35 and 1.46 times that of the control treatment (non-conditioned soil) by incorporating 0.5 and 1kg OM or 2 and 4g G, in the plant pit, respectively.

More increase was obtained in the marketable yield of tomato due to mixing OM with G before incorporating into the soil or applying grafted G on OM. Under these conditions, marketable yields were 1.36, 1.42, 1.49, and 1.61 times that of control treatment by treating the soil with 0.5kg OM + 1g G, 1kg OM + 1g G, 0.5 kg OM + 2g G, and 1kg OM + 2g G, in sequence. Relevant values for applying grafted G were 1.4 and 1.62 times by treating the soil with 12.5 and 25g grafted G/plant pit, respectively.

#### *Water and fertilizers use efficiency by tomato plants*

Values of the water or fertilizers use efficiency which reflect the relation between the production and the total seasonal water or fertilizers used are presented in Table 7. Data show that treating the sandy soil with examined

conditioners led to an increase in water or fertilizers use efficiency by growing plant, *i.e.*, yield produced in kg by each cubic meter of irrigation water used or each unit of added nutrients. Obtained increases were 21.3 and 29.6% for OM at rates of 0.5kg and 1kg/plant pit, respectively. The corresponding increase for 2 and 4g G/plant pit were respectively 34.8 and 45.6%.

**TABLE 7. Marketable yield, water and fertilizers use efficiency by tomato plants as affected by soil conditioning.**

| Treatments |                            |                     | Marketable yield<br>tons/ fed | Water use<br>efficiency<br>(kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Fertilizers use efficiency<br>(kg/unit of added<br>nutrients) |                               |                  |
|------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|------------------|
| No.,       | OM<br>Kg /<br>plant<br>pit | G<br>g/plant<br>pit |                               |   | N   | P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> | K <sub>2</sub> O |
| 1          | -                          | -                   | 18.975g                       | 5.184   | 1130  | 1224                          | 380              |
| 2          | 0.5                        | -                   | 23.010f                       | 6.392   | 1370  | 485                           | 461              |
| 3          | 1.0                        | -                   | 24.585e                       | 6.830   | 1464  | 1586                          | 492              |
| 4          | -                          | 2                   | 25.275d                       | 6.987   | 1523  | 1650                          | 512              |
| 5          | -                          | 4                   | 27.63 b                       | 7.671   | 1644  | 1782                          | 552              |
| 6          | 12.5 g grafted G           |                     | 26.580c                       | 7.263   | 1583  | 1715                          | 531              |
| 7          | 25 g grafted G             |                     | 30.735a                       | 8.397   | 1830  | 1983                          | 615              |
| 8          | 0.5                        | 1                   | 25.785d                       | 7.046   | 1535  | 1664                          | 516              |
| 9          | 1.0                        | 1                   | 27.00 c                       | 7.377   | 1607  | 1742                          | 540              |
| 10         | 0.5                        | 2                   | 28.245b                       | 7.718   | 1682  | 1823                          | 566              |
| 11         | 1.0                        | 2                   | 30.600a                       | 8.319   | 1812  | 1965                          | 609              |
| L.S.D 0.05 |                            |                     | 0.600                         |   |   |                               |                  |

Regarding the combined effect of both types of soil conditioners on the values of water or fertilizers use efficiency by tomato plants, data indicate better yields by the same quantity of water or added fertilizers. With this respect, water or fertilizers use efficiency increased by 35.9, 42.3, 48.9 and 61.3% that of untreated soil by incorporating 0.5kg OM mixed with 1g G; 1kg OM mixed with 1g G, 0.5kg OM mixed with 2g G and 1kg OM mixed with 2g G in the plant pit, respectively. Corresponding values when applying grafted G were 40.1 and 62.0% using 12.5g and 25g grafted G/plant pit in sequence.

The conditioning effects of organic composts as natural soil conditioners or /and acrylamide hydrogels as synthesized ones on physico- bio- chemical properties of the sandy soil under study after tomato plantation were evaluated in part II of this study (El-Hady *et al.*, 2006). Data indicate that synthetic hydrogels can be viewed as analogous to the soil organic matter. The positive effects of each of the studied conditioners on soil properties could be considered as a basis for discussing the beneficial effects that gained on crop production, and both water and fertilizers use efficiency by the plants. Regarding hydro physical properties of the soil, the effect of both types of soil conditioners (OM or G) include: 1) promoting good soil structure that protects the soil surface against wind and water erosion through

improving soil structurization and increasing the percentages of water stable structural units. 2) Increasing soil water holding capacity and the ability of the soil to retain water due to their effect on pores size distribution towards the finer ones, *i.e.*, water holding pores, from one hand and the swellability of conditioners particularly the hydrogels, on the other hand and 3) Improving the dynamic soil water characteristics, *i.e.*, decreasing downward movement of water through infiltration and the upward movement of it via evaporation. Concerning the biochemical properties of the soil, the improving effects of applied conditioners include: 1) Lowering soil pH that leads to more solubilization of nutrients and increasing nutrients availability. 2) increasing the low exchange capacity and specific surface area of the soil that raises its nutrients retention abilities and in turn minimizing the loss of such nutrients by leaching or deep percolation and 3) increasing soil microbial biomass and enzymes activity indicating an improvement in biological fertility of the soil.

As expected, incorporating OM mixed with hydrogels or applying grafted hydrogels on OM in the plant pits was more effective than using each of them alone. The combined and interacted effects of applying both technologies for the sandy soil conditioning together on hydro-physical, chemical and biological properties of the soil were practically proved (El-Hady *et al.*, 2006). The beneficial effects of mixing 6 ton OM with 24kg G/fed on all the studied hydro-physical properties of the soil and most of its chemical and biological properties, has exceeded that of 12 ton OM or 48kg G /plant pit if each of them was solely applied. Although mixing 12 ton OM with 24 kg G cause better improvement in soil properties, obtained yields did not highly increase. The higher moisture retention in the treated soil over the needs of the growing plants and its adverse effects on the aeration of the root-zone as a result of increasing the soil micro-porosity on the expense of its macro-ones-may be the explanation for this phenomenon (El-Hady, 1987a) and indicate the importance of using lower rates of G. Moreover, obtained results refer that grafting polyacrylamide hydrogel on organic composts leads to the production of an effective soil conditioner. Incorporating this conditioner in sandy soil improves its properties on one hand and its productivity and water and fertilizers use efficiency by growing plants on the other hand.

#### References

- Abd El- Hady, B.M.; El-Hady, O.A.; Rizk, N.A. and El- Saify, E.S. (1997) The potentiality for improving plant- soil water relations in sandy soils using synthesized Am Na (or K) ATEA hydrogels. 4<sup>th</sup> Arab Int. Conf., On Polymer Science and Techn., Sept. 8-11, 1997, Cairo, Egypt.
- Abd El-Hameed, M.W.; El-Hady, O.A.; Hammad, S.A. and Kotb, M.Th. (1995) Effect of incorporating organic manure or/and hydrogels in sandy soil on soil structurization and stabilization. *Egypt Soil Sci. Soc.(Esss)*, 5<sup>th</sup> Nat.Cong. (Bio-agriculture in relation to environment), Nov. 20-21,1995,Cairo,Egypt.

- Abd El-Rehim, H.A; Hegazy E.A. and H.L. Abd El-Mohdy (2004)** Radiation synthesis of hydrogels to enhance soils water retention and increase plant performance. *J. Applied Polymer Science* 95 (3), 1360 .
- Ayers, R.S. and Westcot, D.W. (1976)** Water quality for agriculture. FAO, Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 29, 97 p., Rome.
- Badran, Nadia, M. (1983)** Organic manuring and its effect on some chemical and microbiological properties of some Egyptian soils. *M.Sc. Thesis*, Fac. Agric. Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Balba, A. M. (1999)** Soils, Water and Development in Arab Countries. Monshaet El-Maaerf Publ. Co., Alex., Egypt.
- Doorenbos, J. and Pruitt, W.O. (1977)** Guidelines for predicting crop water requirements. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper, 24, FAO: Rome: 144 p.
- El-Hady, O.A. (1987a)** Hydrogels for increasing water and fertilizers use efficiency in sandy soils. *1<sup>st</sup> Conf. On Fertilizers Availability and Needs*, S.W.R.I., A.R.C.; Ministry of Agric., Egypt, 13-16 April, pp. 478-496.
- El-Hady, O.A. (1987b)** Some new directions in the field of improving desert soils. Arab Conf. for Scientific Research and Development. "Agriculture in desert areas". Cairo 26-29 Sept., Ministry of Scientific Research, A. R. Egypt., pp. 69-92 (in Arabic).
- El-Hady, O.A.; Loffy, A.A. and Abd El-Hady, B.M. (1990)** The interaction between polyacrylamide as a conditioner for sandy soils and some plant nutrients. II. Effect on the extractability of nutrients. *Egypt. J. Soil Sci.* 30, 545.
- El-Hady, O.A.; Adam, M. Safla and Abd El-Kader, A.A. (2002a)** Sand compost-hydrogel mix for low cost production of tomato seedlings. *Egypt. J. Soil Sci.* 42, 767.
- El-Hady, O.A.; El-Sherif, A.F. Saleh, A.L. and Abd El-Kader, A.A. (2000b)** Effect of incorporating organic manure and /or acrylamide hydrogels in sandy soil on growth response, nutrients uptake and water and fertilizers use efficiency by ryegrass plants. *X<sup>th</sup> Int. Colloq. Plant Nutrition for the Next Millennium*. IAOPN and NRC, April 8-13, Cairo, Egypt.
- El-Hady, O.A.; Abd El-Hamid, A.N. and Shaaban, S.M. (2002b)** Hydrogels for improving the conditioning effect of wooden waste composts. I-Growth response, nutrients uptake and water and fertilizer use efficiency by plants grown on sandy soil. *Egypt Soil Sci. Soc. (ESSS), 6<sup>th</sup> Nat. Conf. on Towards A Strategy for Soils and Water*, Oct. 29-30, 2002, Cairo, Egypt.
- El-Hady, O.A.; Abd El-Hady, B.M. and Abbady, K.A. (1991)** Acrylamide hydrogels and bentonitic clays as means for reducing the loss of nitrogen added to sandy soils through fertigation (columns experiment). *Egypt. J. Applied Sci.* 6, 412.
- El-Hady, O.A.; Abou-Sedera, S.A. and Abd El-Kader, A.A. (2000a)** Hydrogels for improving the conditioning effect of manures II-Influence on some chemical and biological properties of sandy soil. *Egypt. Soil Sci. Soc.; Golden Jubilee Cong. On "Soil and sustainable agriculture in the new century"*, Oct. 23-25, 2000, Cairo Egypt.

- El-Hady, O.A.; Wanas, Sh. A. and Shaaban, S.M. (2006) Hydrophilic polymers for improving the conditioning effect of manures and organic composts. II. physico- biochemical properties of sandy soil planted with tomato. *Egypt. J. Soil Sci.* (in press).
- Hillel, D. (1971) Soil and Water: "*Physical Principles and Processes*", Academic press, New York and London, 288 p.
- Montasser, S.Y.B. (1987) Organic manuring and behaviour of certain elements in Egyptian soils with special referenece to response of grown plants. *Ph.D. Thesis*, Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt.
- Rasheed, M.A.; El-Hady, O.A.; Khater, A.H. Abou Seeda, M. and Arafat, S.M. (1997) Technical, practical and economical studies of using soil conditioners on clayey, sandy and calcareous soils. Final Project Report. ASRT and NRC. Egypt.
- Sakr, A.A.; Rizk, S.A. and El-Sebaay, A.S. (1992) Effect of organic manures on plant growth and NPK uptake by wheat and maize plants. *Egypt. J. Soil Sci.*, 32, 249.
- Shaaban, S.M. (2002) Utilization of wood industry wastes as soil conditioners. *Ph.D. Thesis*, Fac. Agric, Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ, Benha Branch, Egypt.
- Steel, R.G.D. and Torrie, J.H. (1980) "*Principles and Procedures of Statistics*", 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Mc. Graw – Hill Co., N.Y., USA.
- Vermeiren, I. and Jobling, G.A. (1980) Localized irrigation, design, installation, operation, evaluation. FAO Irrigation and Drainage paper, 36, FAO, Rome, 203 P.
- Wahba, S.A. (2005) Water and fertilizers use efficiency by tomatoes grown under stress on sandy soil treated with acrylamide hydrogel. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.* 20 (2), 318.

(Received 3/2006;  
accepted 5/2006)

## البوليمرات المحبة للماء لرفع الكفاءة التحسينية للأسمدة العضوية ١- إنتاجية الطماطم النامية في أرض رملية وكفاءة إستخدامها لمياه الرى والأسمدة

عمر عبد العزيز الهادي<sup>١</sup>، سعيد محمد شعبان<sup>٢</sup> و شوكت عبد الله حسيني ونس<sup>٣</sup>  
<sup>١</sup> قسم الأراضى وإستغلال المياه و<sup>٢</sup> قسم العلاقات المائية والرى الحقلية - المركز  
القومى للبحوث - القاهرة - مصر .

لجريت تجربة حقلية بمنطقة القطا بمحافظة الجيزة لمدة عامين (٢٠٠٤، ٢٠٠٥) تحت نظام الرى بالتنقيط على أرض رملية لم تزرع من قبل (تسبة الحبيبات الأكبر من ٢٠ ميكرون فيها يزيد عن ٩٠٪) أستخدم فيها الطماطم هجين ٤٤٨ كنبات دليلى لدراسة الأثر التحسينى للبوليمرات المحبة للماء عند خلطها بالكمبوست أو تطعيمها عليه على الانتاجية وكفاءة إستخدام النباتات النامية لمياه الرى والأسمدة المضافة. كانت معاملات التجربة كالأتى:

- ١- تربة غير معاملة بالمحسسات (الكنترول).
- ٢، ٣ - تربة معاملة ب ٠,٥ كجم، اكجم كمبوست (OM) / جورة نبات.
- ٤، ٥ - تربة معاملة ب ٢، ٤ جم بولى أكريلاميد بوتاسيوم بولى أكريلات هيدروجيل (G) / جورة نبات.
- ٦، ٧- تربة معاملة ب ١٢,٥ ، ٢٥ جم بولى أكريلاميد بوتاسيوم بولى أكريلات هيدروجيل مطعم على الكمبوست grafted G / جورة نبات.
- ٨، ٩، ١٠، ١١ - تربة معاملة بخليط من ٠,٥ كجم OM + اجم G ، ٠,٥ كجم OM + ٢ اجم G ، اكجم OM + اجم G ، اكجم OM + ٢ اجم G / جورة نبات على الترتيب.

تشير النتائج إلى:

- ١- المحصول الناتج من وحدة مياه الرى أو العناصر السمادية المضافة تثبت أهمية إستخدام أى من الكمبوست أو مركب الهيدروجيل أو إستخدام كلا التقنيتين معا سواء بخلط المحسنين سويا أو تطعيم مركب الهيدروجيل على الكمبوست فى زيادة القدرة الانتاجية للأراضى الرملية تحت الظروف القاسية لصحارينا والتي تتمثل فى محدودية مصادر المياه وقلة قدرة إحتفاظ التربة بالماء وإنخفاض خصوبتها.
- ٢- يؤدى تطعيم مركب الهيدروجيل على الكمبوست لانتاج محسن تربة مؤثر يؤدى إضافة للتربة الرملية الى رفع إنتاجيتها وزيادة كفاءة إستخدام النباتات النامية فيها لكل من مياه الرى والأسمدة المضافة.
- ٣- ويمكن ترتيب الأثر التحسينى للمعاملات تحت الدراسة تنازليا كالأتى:  
 $20 \text{ جم grafted G} \cong \text{اكجم OM} + 2 \text{ اجم G} < 0,5 \text{ كجم OM} + 2 \text{ اجم G} \leq$   
 $4 \text{ اجم G} < \text{اكجم OM} + \text{اجم G} \leq 12,5 \text{ جم grafted G} < 0,5 \text{ كجم OM} +$   
 $\text{اجم G} \cong 2 \text{ اجم G} < \text{اكجم OM} < 0,5 \text{ كجم OM} / \text{جورة نبات.}$